1	LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN	STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP
2	& BERNSTEIN, LLP ELIZABETH J. CABRASER (SBN 083151)	
3	275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111	New York, NY 10038 Telephone: 212.806.5400
4	Telephone: 415.956.1000 Facsimile: 415.956.1008	Email: jbernard@stroock.com
5	Email: ecabraser@lchb.com	CLARENCE DYER & COHEN LLP JOSH A. COHEN (SBN 217853)
6	Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs	899 Ellis Street San Francisco, CA 94109
7		Telephone: 415.749.1800 Email: jcohen@clarencedyer.com
8		MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
9		MARK DAVID MCPHERSON (SBN 307951) 425 Market Street
10		San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: 415.268.7000 Email: mmcpherson@mofo.com
11		TODD & WELD LLP
12		INGRID S. MARTIN (PRO HAC VICE) One Federal Street
13		Boston, MA 02110 Telephone: 617.720.2626
14		Email: imartin@toddweld.com
15		Attorneys for McKinsey Defendants
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	NORTHERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
18	IN RE: MCKINSEY & CO., INC. NATIONAL	Case No. 3:21-md-02996-CRB (SK)
19	PRESCRIPTION OPIATE CONSULTANT LITIGATION) [Assigned to the Hon. Charles R. Breyer]
20	LITIGATION)
21	This Document Relates to: ALL ACTIONS	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING PAGE LIMITS FOR BRIEFING ON INITIAL MOTIONS
22	This Document Relates to: ALL ACTIONS	TO DISMISS
23)
24		/
25		
26		
27		
28		
		STIPLILATION REGARDING PAGE LIMITS

STIPULATION

WHEREAS, the Court previously entered a briefing schedule directing McKinsey to file initial motions to dismiss by December 23, 2020; Plaintiffs to file oppositions to the motions to dismiss by February 14, 2022; and McKinsey to file reply briefs by March 21, 2022 (Dkt. 282);

WHEREAS, given the multiple categories of Plaintiffs and the number of states from which complaints originated, the issues McKinsey intends to raise by its motions to dismiss McKinsey believes cannot be addressed adequately within the number of pages contemplated by the local rules and this Court's standing orders;

WHEREAS, the parties have met and conferred about extending page limits given these limitations;

WHEREAS, the parties have agreed on extending page limits for McKinsey's opening brief in support of its motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction, Plaintiffs' brief in opposition to that motion, and McKinsey's reply brief in support of that motion;

WHEREAS, the parties have also agreed on an extended page limit for McKinsey's opening brief in support of its motion to dismiss based on the effect of McKinsey's settlement with the state Attorneys General, but Plaintiffs wish to review the motion before determining how many pages they will need to oppose the motion;

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby agree and stipulate to the following page limits for McKinsey's motions to dismiss:

Motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction

Opening Brief: 30 pages

Opposition Brief: 30 pages

Reply Brief: 20 pages

1	Motion to dismiss based on effect of AG settlement	
2	Opening Brief:	45 pages
3	Opposition Brief:	To be determined
4	Reply Brief:	To be determined
5	IT IS SO STIPULAT	TED.
6		
7	Dated: December 16, 2021	STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP DAVID M. CHEIFETZ
8		By: /s/ David M. Chaifatz
9		/s/ David M. Cheifetz David M. Cheifetz
10		Attorneys for Defendants
11		·
12		
13		LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP
14		ELIZABETH J. CABRASER
15		By: /s/ Elizabeth J. Cabraser
16		Elizabeth J. Cabraser
17		Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs
18		
19		
20	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
21	Pursuant to stipulation	on, and for good cause shown, IT IS SO ORDERED.
22		
23	DATED: December 17, 20	21
24		CHARLES Ř. BREYER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
25		
26		
27		
28		-2-