	Case 3:21-md-02996-CRB Document 3	356 Filed 03/18/22 Page 1 of 3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	& BERNSTEIN, LLP I ELIZABETH J. CABRASER (SBN 083151) 1 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor N San Francisco, CA 94111 T Telephone: 415.956.1000 F Facsimile: 415.956.1008 Email: ecabraser@lchb.com G Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs S N H H H H H H H H	STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP DAVID M. CHEIFETZ (<i>PRO HAC VICE</i>) 80 Maiden Lane New York, NY 10038 Telephone: 212.806.5400 Email: jbernard@stroock.com CLARENCE DYER & COHEN LLP OSH A. COHEN (SBN 217853) 899 Ellis Street San Francisco, CA 94109 Telephone: 415.749.1800 Email: jcohen@clarencedyer.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP MARK DAVID MCPHERSON (SBN 307951) 425 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: 415.268.7000 Email: mmcpherson@mofo.com TODD & WELD LLP NGRID S. MARTIN (<i>PRO HAC VICE</i>) One Federal Street
13 14	H	Boston, MA 02110 Felephone: 617.720.2626 Email: imartin@toddweld.com
15	A	Attorneys for McKinsey Defendants
16	UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	IN RE: MCKINSEY & CO., INC. NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE CONSULTANT LITIGATION This Document Relates to: ALL ACTIONS	Case No. 3:21-md-02996-CRB (SK) [Assigned to the Hon. Charles R. Breyer] STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING PAGE LIMITS FOR BRIEFING ON INITIAL MOTIONS TO DISMISS
		STIPULATION REGARDING PAGE LIMITS Case No. 3:21-md-02996-CRE
	2366653.1	

STIPULATION

1	
2	WHEREAS, the Court previously entered a briefing schedule directing McKinsey to file
3	initial motions to dismiss by December 23, 2021; Plaintiffs to file oppositions to the motions to
4	dismiss by February 14, 2022; and McKinsey to file reply briefs by March 21, 2022 (Dkt. 282);
5	WHEREAS, on December 17, 2021, the Court entered an order pursuant to stipulation
6 7	extending the page limits for briefing for the initial motions to dismiss (Dkt. 306);
8	WHEREAS, on February 8, 2022, the Court entered an order pursuant to stipulation
9	extending the page limits for Plaintiffs' briefs in opposition to McKinsey's motion to dismiss based
10	on the effect of McKinsey's settlement with the state Attorneys General (Dkt. 339);
11	WHEREAS, the Court's order dated February 8, 2022 permitted the political-subdivision
12	plaintiffs to file an opposition brief of no more than 60 pages, and the school-district plaintiffs to
13	file a separate opposition brief of no more than 6 pages (<i>id.</i>);
14	WHEREAS, given the scope of the issues raised by briefing on the motion to dismiss,
15 16	McKinsey believes the issues cannot be addressed adequately within the number of pages
17	contemplated by the local rules and this Court's standing orders for reply briefs;
18	WHEREAS, the parties have met and conferred about extending the page limit for reply
19	briefs given these limitations;
20	NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby agree and stipulate that McKinsey's reply brief in
21	response to the opposition brief submitted by the political-subdivision plaintiffs and McKinsey's
22	reply brief in response to the opposition brief submitted by the school-district plaintiffs shall,
23 24	together, not exceed 60 pages.
24 25	///
26	///
27	///
28	1
	- 1 - STIPULATION REGARDING PAGE LIMITS Case No. 3:21-md-02996-CRB
	2366653.1

	Case 3:21-md-02996-CRB Document 356 Filed 03/18/22 Page 3 of 3	
1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
2	Dated: March 15, 2022 STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP	
3	DAVID M. CHEIFETZ	
4	By: /s/ David M. Cheifetz	
5	David M. Cheifetz	
6	Attorneys for Defendants	
7		
8 9	LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP	
10	ELIZABETH J. CABRASER	
11	By: /s/ Elizabeth J. Cabraser	
12	Elizabeth J. Cabraser	
13	Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs	
14		
15		
16		
17	(PROPOSED) ORDER	
18	Pursuant to stipulation, and for good cause shown, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
19		
20	DATED: March 18, 2022	
21	CHARLES R. BREYER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	- 2 -	
	STIPULATION REGARDING PAGE LIMITS Case No. 3:21-md-02996-CRB	
	2366653.1	