1 2 3 4 5 6 7	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP AELISH M. BAIG (201279) TAEVA C. SHEFLER (291637) HADIYA K. DESHMUKH (328118) Post Montgomery Center One Montgomery Street, Suite 1800 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: 415/288-4545 415/288-4534 (fax) aelishb@rgrdlaw.com tshefler@rgrdlaw.com hdeshmukh@rgrdlaw.com					
8	PSC Members – Political Subdivisions					
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
10	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA				
11 12 13	SANTA CRUZ COUNTY, CALIFORNIA; POPE COUNTY, ILLINOIS; and THE VILLAGE OF EDDYVILLE, ILLINOIS, Individually and on Behalf of a Class of Persons Similarly Situated In re MCKINSEY & CO., INC. NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE CONSULTANT LITIGATION This Document Relates To: ALL SUBDIVISION ACTIONS	Case No. 3:21-md-02996-CRB PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT AND DIRECTION OF NOTICE UNDER RULE 23(e) OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE O				
25						
26						
27						
28						

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT AND

- 1

DIRECTION OF NOTICE UNDER FED. R. CIV. P. RULE 23(E) - 3:21-md-02996-CRB

3

appears to be fair, reasonable, and adequate, such that notice of the Settlement should be directed to Class Members and a Final Approval Hearing should be set.

(including, but not limited to, a municipality, county, county subdivision, city, town, township,

parish, village, borough, gore, or any other entity that provides municipal-type government),

(2) Special District within a State, and (3) any other subdivision, subdivision official (acting in an

official capacity on behalf of the subdivision) or sub-entity of or located within a State (whether

political, geographical or otherwise, whether functioning or non-functioning, regardless of

population overlap, and including, but not limited to, nonfunctioning governmental units and

public institutions). The foregoing shall specifically include but not be limited to the litigating

"Class" or "Settlement Class" means any (1) General Purpose Government

3.

2. Accordingly, the Motion is GRANTED.

4 5

II. Class, Class Representatives, and Class Counsel

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

5.

25

26

27

28

6.

Excluded from the Class are any sub-entity of Indiana, American Samoa, the

- 2

Pretrial Order No. 2, have applied for appointment as Interim Settlement Class Counsel, and the [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT AND DIRECTION OF NOTICE UNDER FED. R. CIV. P. RULE 23(E) - 3:21-md-02996-CRB

Commonwealth of Guam, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, the U.S. Virgin Islands, and all school districts. The PSC – Political Subdivision Committee members, appointed by the Court in

4. The terms "General Purpose Government" and "Special District" shall correspond to the "basic types of local governments" recognized by the U.S. Census Bureau and match the

subdivisions listed in Schedule A, attached to the Settlement Agreement.

2017 list of Governmental Units. The General Purpose Governments are county, municipal, and

township governments. "Fire District," "Health District," "Hospital District," and "Library

District" shall correspond to categories of Special Districts recognized by the U.S. Census Bureau.

References to a State's Subdivisions or to a Subdivision "in," "of," or "within" a State include

Subdivisions located within the State even if they are not formally or legally a sub-entity of the

State; provided, however, that a "Health District" that includes any of the following words or

phrases in its name shall not be considered a Subdivision: mosquito, pest, insect, spray, vector,

animal, air quality, air pollution, clean air, coastal water, tuberculosis, and sanitary.

proposed Settlement Class Representatives are those named as Plaintiffs in the Amended Master Class Action Complaint (Subdivision). ECF 211; 597.

III. Preliminary Findings

- 7. The Court is thoroughly familiar with the standards applicable to certification of a settlement class. *See, e.g., In re Hyundai & Kia Fuel Econ. Litig.*, 926 F.3d 539, 556-67 (9th Cir. 2019) (detailing the standard for certifying a settlement class); *see also In re Volkswagen "Clean Diesel" Mktg., Sales Pracs., & Prod. Liab. Litig.*, No. MDL 2672 CRB (JSC), ECF 6764 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 4, 2019) (Audi CO2 cases).
- 8. Applying these standards, the Court finds it will likely be able to approve, under Rule 23(e)(2), the proposed Settlement Class as defined above because the Class and its representatives likely meet all relevant requirements of Rules 23(a) and (b)(2).

IV. Notice to Class Members

9. The Court is also familiar with evolving methods of class notice and has observed their effectiveness as used in previous class settlements in this litigation. As applied here, the Court finds the content, format, and method of disseminating Notice – set forth in the Motion, the Declaration of Aelish M. Baig in support of the Motion, and the Settlement Agreement and Release – is state of the art and satisfies Rule 23(c)(2) and all contemporary notice standards. The Court approves the notice program and hereby directs that such notice be disseminated in the manner set forth in the proposed Settlement and the Declaration of Aelish M. Baig in support of the Motion to Class Members under Rule 23(e)(1).

V. Schedule and Procedures for Disseminating Notice, Filing Claims, Requesting Exclusion from Class, Filing Objections to Class Action Settlement, and Filing Motion for Final Approval

Court Adopted Date	Event
	Settlement Class Representatives file Motion for Order Approving Notice
	Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Approval [balance of schedule assumes entry of Order granting preliminary approval on this date]
	Class Notice Program begins
	Motions for Final Approval and Attorneys' Fees and Expenses filed
	Objection and Opt-Out Deadline
	Reply Memoranda in Support of Final Approval and Fee/Expense Application filed

1	Court Adopted Date		Event
2	Adopted Date	Final Approval Hearing	
3	VI Final Ann	roval Hearing	

VI. **Final Approval Hearing**

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

10. The Final Approval Hearing shall take place on _______, 20__, at __:_ at the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Phillip Burton Federal Building and U.S. Courthouse, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Courtroom 6, San Francisco, California 94102, before the Honorable Charles R. Breyer, to determine whether the proposed Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate; whether it should be finally approved by the Court; and whether the Released Claims should be dismissed with prejudice under the Settlement and the notice program.

VII. **Other Provisions**

- 11. PSC – Political Subdivision Committee members are hereby appointed as Interim Settlement Class Counsel under Rule 23(g)(3) ("Interim Class Counsel"). Interim Class Counsel and Defendants are authorized to take, without further Court approval, all necessary and appropriate steps to implement the Settlement, including the approved notice program.
- 12. The dates and deadlines set forth in this Preliminary Approval Order, including, but not limited to, the Final Approval Hearing, may be extended by Order of the Court without further notice to Class Members, except that notice of any such extensions shall be included on the Settlement website. Class Members should check the Settlement website regularly for updates and further details regarding extensions of these deadlines. Exclusions and objections must meet the deadlines and follow the requirements set forth in the approved Notice in order to be valid.
- 13. Interim Class Counsel and Defendants' Counsel are hereby authorized to use all reasonable procedures in connection with approval and administration of the Settlement not materially inconsistent with the Preliminary Approval Order or the Class Action Settlement, including making, without further approval of the Court, minor changes to the Settlement, the form or content of the Class Notice, or any other exhibits the Settling Parties jointly agree are reasonable or necessary.

28

1	14. The Court authorizes the Settlement Administrator, Epiq, through data aggregator	rs		
2	or otherwise, to request, obtain, and use Class Members' information for notice purposes.			
3	15. The Court orders that Class Members shall be required to use the settlement fund	ds		
4	exclusively for approved uses designed to abate the opioid epidemic set forth in Exhibit E ("Li	ist		
5	of Opioid Remediation Uses") of the prior MDL 2804 settlements.			
6	16. The Court shall maintain continuing jurisdiction over these proceedings for the	he		
7	benefit of the Class as defined in this Order.			
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
9	DATED: October 5, 2023 THE HONORABLE CHARLES R. BREYER			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE			
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				

28