1	Before the Court is Public School District Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for Preliminary			
2	Approval of Class Action Settlement.			
3	WHEREAS, a proposed Class Action Settlement Agreement (the "Settlement") has been			
4	reached between Court-appointed MDL Lead Counsel and the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee			
5	("PSC") for Public School Districts, on behalf of a proposed Settlement Class of Public School			
6	Districts, that resolves certain claims against Defendants pertaining to McKinsey's consulting			
7	clients regarding opioids and contribution to the opioid epidemic;			
8	WHEREAS, the Court, for purposes of this Order, adopts all defined terms as set forth in			
9	the Public School District Settlement;			
10	WHEREAS, this matter has come before the Court pursuant to School District Plaintiffs'			
11	Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (the "Motion");			
12	WHEREAS, Defendants do not oppose the Court's entry of the proposed Preliminar			
13	Approval Order;			
14	WHEREAS, the Court finds it has jurisdiction over the Action and each of the parties for			
15	purposes of Settlement as asserts jurisdiction over the Settlement Class Representatives for			
16	purposes of considering and effectuating this Settlement;			
17	WHEREAS, the Court held a Preliminary Approval Hearing on;			
18	WHEREAS, this Court has presided over and managed these MDL proceedings since the			
19	JPML centralized the actions before this Court, In re McKinsey & Co., Inc., Nat'l Prescription			
20	Opiate Consultant Litig., 543 F. Supp. 3d 1377 (J.P.M.L. 2021); and			
21	WHEREAS, this Court has considered all of the presentations and submissions related to			
22	the Motion, as well as the facts, contentions, claims, and defenses as they have developed in these			
23	proceedings, and is otherwise fully advised of all relevant facts in connection therewith;			
24	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:			
25	I. Preliminary Approval Of Class Action Settlement			
26	1. The proposed Settlement appears to be the product of intensive, thorough, serious,			
27	informed, and non-collusive negotiations; has no obvious deficiencies; does not improperly grant			
28	preferential treatment to the Settlement Class Representatives or segments of the Class: and			

appears to be fair, reasonable, and adequate, such that notice of the Settlement should be directed to Class Members and a Final Approval Hearing should be set.

2. Accordingly, the Motion is GRANTED.

## II. Class Definition, Class Representatives, And Class Counsel

- 3. "Class" or "Settlement Class" includes all elementary, middle, and secondary public School Districts in the United States, except those in Indiana, American Samoa, the Commonwealth of Guam, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, the U.S. Virgin Islands. The Class shall specifically include but not be limited to the public School Districts listed on Schedule A and the litigating School Districts listed on Schedule B.
- 4. Cyrus Mehri, Wayne Hogan, and Neil Henrichsen are hereby appointed as Interim Settlement Class Counsel under Rule 23(g)(3) ("Interim Class Counsel"). Interim Class Counsel and Defendants are authorized to take, without further Court approval, all necessary and appropriate steps to implement the Settlement, including the approved notice program.
- 5. The following School Districts are appointed as Class Representatives: Putnam County School District in Florida; Jefferson, Martin, Estill, Larue, Breahitt, Fayette, and Bullitt County Public Schools in Kentucky; Regional School Units of 34 and 68 in Maine; Southwestern Central and Rochester City School District in New York; and Hamblen and Hancock County Boards of Education in Tennessee.

## III. Preliminary Findings

- 6. The Court is thoroughly familiar with the standards applicable to certification of a settlement class. *See, e.g., In re Hyundai & Kia Fuel Econ. Litig.*, 926 F.3d 539, 556-67 (9th Cir. 2019) (detailing the standard for certifying a settlement class); *see also In re Volkswagen "Clean Diesel" Mktg., Sales Pracs.*, & *Prod. Liab. Litig.*, No. MDL 2672 CRB (JSC), ECF 6764 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 4, 2019) (Audi CO2 cases).
- 7. Applying these standards, the Court finds it will likely be able to approve, under Rule 23(e)(2), the proposed Settlement Class as defined above because the Class and its representatives likely meet all relevant requirements of Rules 23(a) and (b)(2).

#### **IV.** Notice To Class Members

8. The Court is also familiar with evolving methods of class notice. As applied here, the Court finds the content, format, and method of disseminating Notice – set forth in the Motion, the Declaration of Cyrus Mehri in support of the Motion, and the Settlement Agreement and Release –satisfy Rule 23(c)(2) and contemporary notice standards. The Court approves the notice program and directs that such notice be disseminated in the manner set forth in the proposed Settlement and the Declaration of Cyrus Mehri in support of the Motion to Class Members under Rule 23(e)(1).

# V. Schedule And Procedures For Disseminating Notice, Filing Claims, Requesting Exclusion From Class, Filing Objections To Class Action Settlement, And Filing Motion For Final Approval

Proposed Date	Court Adopted Date (if altered)	Event
		Settlement Class Representatives file Motion for Order Approving Notice
		Hearing on Motion for Preliminary Approval [balance of schedule assumes entry of Order granting preliminary approval on this date]
		Class Notice Program begins  Motions for Final Approval and Attorneys' Fees and
		Expenses filed Objection and Opt-Out Deadline
		Reply Memoranda in Support of Final Approval and Fee/Expense Application filed
		Final Approval Hearing

# VI. Final Approval Hearing

1	VII. The dates and deadlines set forth in this Preliminary Approval Order, including, but not limited to, the Final Approval Hearing, may be extended by Order of the Court
2	without further notice to Class Members, except that notice of any such extensions shall be included on the Settlement website. Class Members should check the
3	Settlement website regularly for updates and further details regarding extensions of these deadlines. Exclusions and objections must meet the deadlines and follow the
4	requirements set forth in the approved Notice in order to be valid.
5	10. Interim Class Counsel and Defendants' Counsel are hereby authorized to use all
6	reasonable procedures in connection with approval and administration of the Settlement not
7	materially inconsistent with the Preliminary Approval Order or the Class Action Settlement,
8	including making, without further approval of the Court, minor changes to the Settlement, the
9	form or content of the Class Notice, or any other exhibits the Settling Parties jointly agree are
10	reasonable or necessary.
11	11. The Court authorizes the Settlement Administrators, Epiq Class Action & Claims
12	Solutions, Inc., through data aggregators or otherwise, to request, obtain, and use Class Members'
13	information for notice purposes.
14	12. The Court shall maintain continuing jurisdiction over these proceedings for the
15	benefit of the Class as defined in this Order.
16	
17	IT IS SO ORDERED.
18	DATED: October 5, 2023
19	THE HONORABLE CHARLES R. BREYER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	